

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	08/809,650	BAHR, GEORGES	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Zachariah Lucas	1648	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Zachariah Lucas.

(3) _____.

(2) MaryAnne Armstrong.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 13 December 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

25, 34

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner inquired as to whether the Applicant would accept an Examiner's Amendment cancelling the text ", and wherein said effective amount is also an amount that is capable of causing a 100% inhibition of the replication of said retroviruses in primary cultures of monocytes of the host" from claims 25 and 34. The Applicant agreed to the amendment.